# central central

Connecting people. Connecting communities.

## **Risk Mitigation Meeting Minutes #56**

DATE:	March 20, 2014
MEETING DATE:	March 11, 2014
LOCATION:	821 Howard Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor – Main Conference Room
TIME:	2:00pm
ATTENDEES:	John Funghi, Albert Hoe, , Eric Stassevitch, Beverly Ward, Luis Zurinaga, Bradley Lebovitz
COPIES TO:	Attendees: Alex Clifford, Roger Nguyen, Richard Redmond, Jane Wang, Sanford Pong, Vivian Chow, Mark Latch, Aileen Read, Chuck Morganson, James Sampson, David Kuehn, Jeffrey Davis File: M544.1.5.0820
REFERENCE	Project No. M544.1, Contract No. 149 Task 1-4.01 Program/Construction Management
SUBJECT:	Risk Management – Risk Mitigation Meeting Risk Mitigation Report No. 56

## **RECORD OF MEETING**

ITEM #	DISCUSSION	ACTION BY DUE DATE
1 -	Report on Red Risk and – (Risk rating ≥ 6)	
	<b>Risk 83</b> : Cost of vehicles are more than estimated <u>Discussion</u> : Two firms have submitted bids. <b>Risk Rating 8</b>	
	<b>Risk 52</b> : Unacceptable settlement and impact on major utilities at CTS. (OLD Sewers And Others Within 20ft Space Between Top Of Cavern And Street Level) <u>Discussion</u> : Response letter from SFPUC is still pending. Previous contact Betsey Egan has left the division. SFMTA needs to determine whom the new contact person will be to find out the status of the comments under review by SFPUC. <b>Risk Rating 6</b>	
	<b>Risk 204</b> : Relocation of AT&T Vault and other utilities delays work south of Bryant <u>Discussion</u> : A section of potholing remains to be completed at 4th and Townsend related to AT&T, which needs to be coordinated with Muni Operations. <b>Risk Rating 6</b>	
	<b>Risk 208</b> : Additional cost to retrieve TBMs at the Pagoda Theatre site exceeds current budget <u>Discussion</u> : 1252 Contractor alleged DSC claim of a harder layer than	

SFMTA

Municipal Transportation Agency





ITEM #	DISCUSSION	ACTION BY DUE DATE				
	anticipated encountered at the CSM walls issue is being tracked. SFMTA inspectors are collecting samples at certain increments to compare the core log samples. <b>Risk Rating 6</b>					
	<b>Risk 222</b> : ARGUS Monitoring Software - Sharing Instrumentation for CN1252 and CN1300 <u>Discussion</u> : Clarification of the risk has been addressed. Risk assessment and risk rating was identified. <b>Risk Rating 6</b>					
2 -	Report on Remaining Requirement & Design Risks (Risk rating ≤ 6)					
	<b>Risk 79</b> : Delay in obtaining tunnel easements (3 #) (goes to condemnation) - Costs of ROW may cost more than expected <u>Discussion</u> : This risk has been quantified and is covered in the RAMP contingency. <b>Risk Rating 1</b>					
3-	Active Construction Risks					
	Construction Risk with a rating below six which are actively been tracked were included on the agenda for information, but were not discussed at this meeting. Updates to those Risk Mitigation Status reports are included in this meeting package for distribution.					
	<b>Risk 112</b> : Major safety event halts work <u>Discussion</u> : Overall Program safety awareness activities have increased. A reoccurring safety agenda item will be established to take place the 1st Monday of the month during the weekly Program Management meeting. <b>Risk Rating 4</b>					
	<b>Risk 99</b> : Breakdown in relationships between SFMTA and Contractors during construction results in increased claims and delays to the overall construction schedule. <u>Discussion</u> : To maintain a positive relationship with the Contractor the Program should be more proactive and helpful in making attempts to look at situations from the Contractor's perspective to solve problems. The Contractors suggested deviation from the compensation grouting plan remains an issue. A letter will be sent to the Designer requesting confirmation of their design intent for compensation grouting. When is pre conditioning required? <b>Risk Rating 5</b>					
	<b>Risk 196</b> : The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned. <u>Discussion</u> : Station licenses are 99% done. 19 Stockton Street is still pending. Current cost and schedule impacts if any are identified and addressed with mitigation plan or covered by contingency. <b>Risk Rating 4</b>					
	<b>Risk J</b> : Macy's entrance conflict with new piles. <u>Discussion</u> : SFMTA Real Estate would like to promote the entrance. Ridership projections have been sent to Macy's to help them understand the reasoning to endorse development of the entrance. <b>Risk Rating 3</b>					
	<b>Risk 218</b> : Air replenishment system no longer required – Agency bears unnecessary cost of installation and maintenance of an air replenishment system that is no longer required					



ITEM #	DISCUSSION	ACTION BY DUE DATE		
	<u>Discussion:</u> SFFD maintains their request for equivalency. SFMTA will revisit the track cart option to bring air tanks from the station or cross passage. The second option would be to get the elevator (shaft) to comply with the air replenishment system requirement - fire rated. If approved the cart option would not be necessary. <b>Risk Rating 1</b>			
	<b>Risk 219</b> : Clearance between YBM slurry wall and constructed tunnels results in a strike causing safety or structural concerns <u>Discussion</u> : TPC's work plan has not yet been approved. Review comments to be incorporated must address accurate placement of the walls and communications with the Tunnel project when in proximity. <b>Risk Rating 3</b>			
	<b>Risk 50</b> : Station contractor delayed by tunnel contractor since station contractor cannot break in to the tunnels until the tunnels have been finished. <u>Discussion</u> : BIH's recovery schedule has not been approved. BIH remains 45 days late on milestone 1 & 2 and substantial completion is roughly 30 days late. <b>Risk Rating 3</b>			
4-	Other Business - Potential Risk			
	No new risks were added to the risk register this month.			

#### **ACTION ITEMS -**

ITEM#	MTG DATE	Task #	DESCRIPTION	BIC	DUE DATE	STATUS
4	12/13/12		<b>Risk 72</b> – 4 <sup>th</sup> & King (SSWP)	S. Pong C. Morganson	03/11/14	Open

Meeting adjourned at 3:40pm

These meeting minutes have been prepared by B. Ward and reviewed by E. Stassevitch, and are the preparer's interpretation of discussions that took place. If the reader's interpretation differs, please contact the author in writing within four (4) days of receipt of these minutes.

Signed:

[initials of preparer & reviewer] Date: 2042.14 [Date review completed.]



Connecting people. Connecting communities.

## **Meeting Agenda**

Project No. M544.1, Contract No. CS-149 Program/Construction Management Risk Mitigation Management Meeting No. 56 March 11, 2014 2:00pm – 4:00pm Central Subway Project Office 821 Howard St. 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Main Conference Room

#### Attendees:

Alex Clifford	Mark Latch	Eric Stassevitch	
Vivian Chow	Brad Lebovitz	Beverly Ward	
John Funghi	Roger Nguyen	Luis Zurinaga	
Albert Hoe	Richard Redmond		

- 1. Report on Red Risks (Risk Rating 6 and above)
  - Requirement Risks (83)
  - Construction Risks (52, 204, 208)
- 2. Report on Remaining Requirement and Design Risks
  - Requirement Risks (79)
  - **Design Risks** (none)
- 3. Active Risks
  - Market Risk (none)
  - Construction Risks (15, 50, 112, 99,196, 218, 219, 220)
- 4. New Risks (Assessment and mitigation strategy)
  - **222** Sharing Monitoring Instrumentation CN1252/CN1300

Note: **Bolded** numerals indicate that risk is recommended to be retired.



Municipal Transportation Agency





## Connecting people. Connecting communities.

## **Meeting Attendance Sheet**

Project No. M544.1, Contract No. CS-149 Program/Construction Management Risk Management Meeting No. 56 March 11, 2014 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. Central Subway Project Office 821 Howard Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Main Conference Room

Deliver Meeting Attendance Sheet with original signatures/initials to Document Control.

NAME	AFFILIATION	PHONE	E-MAIL (for minutes)	INITIALS
Vivian Chow	SFMTA	415 701-5264	Vivian.chow.@sfmta.com	
Alex Clifford	CSP	415 701- 5275	Alex.clifford@sfmta.com	
Jeffrey Davis	FTA	415 744-2594	Jeffrey.s.davis@dot.gov	T
John Funghi	SFMTA	415-701-4299	John.funghi@sfmta.com	A
Albert Hoe	SFMTA	415-701-4289	Albert.hoe@sfmta.com	ma
Mark Latch	CSP	415-701-5294	Mark.latch@sfmta.com	
Brad Lebovitz	STV/PMOC	510-464-8052	Bradley.lebovitz@stvinc.com	BK
Richard Redmond	CSP	415-701-4288	Richard.redmond@sfmta.com	
Eric Stassevitch	CSP	415-701-4426	Eric.stassevitch@sfmta.com	H
Beverly Ward	CSP	415-701-5291	Beverly.ward@sfmta.com	Pa)
Luis Zurinaga	SFCTA	415-716-6956	luis@sfcta.org	ABV
				$\mathcal{V}$



**Municipal Transportation Agency** 



#### **Risk Reference: 83**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Cost of vehicles are more than estimated	<ol> <li>Time the procurement of the vehicles to be part of the procurement of the SFMTA LRV procurement contract.</li> </ol>

**Initial Assessment:** 1, 1.5, 2 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 8 – Requirement Risk

## Status Log:

April 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Fleet procurement plan needs to be checked with Fleet agency.
- 2. Lewis Ames is working at a program level with Operations to look at alternatives and options for procurement.

## May 2012 Meeting:

- 1 An RFP is being developed by CH2M Hill for high-floor vehicles.
- 2 SFMTA will attempt to attach the procurement of the four CS vehicles to a procurement contract of another transit property that is currently pursuing procurement of vehicles.

June 2012 Meeting:

1 No status update.

September 2012 Meeting:

- 1. CH2M Hill is now preparing an update of the LRV Procurement Plan. CH2M Hill is working under for SFMTA Transit and led by John Haley's staff under an on-call contract to support the update and help integrate the RFP vehicle specification process led by Elson Hao
- 2. Julie Kirschbaum, Manager of Service Planning/TEP is leading an effort to produce a new city-wide travel forecast as the means to support the capacity need for LRV fleet plan requirements in 2025.

The Plan is expected to be circulated, presented, approved; in 2012 etc. specific next steps in the 3rd and 4th quarters of 2012 will be provided in the next report.

3. The Procurement Plan is expected to include assessing the feasibility for SFMTA to attach the procurement of the four CS vehicles to a procurement contract of another transit property that is pursuing procurement of vehicles.

## October 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Risk increased from (1,2, 2) to risk rating (4,4,16)
- 2. There is a possibility that the cost of the LRV significantly exceed the budget

Risk Owner: L. Ames

Risk Reference: 83

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Cost of vehicles are more than estimated	<ol> <li>Time the procurement of the vehicles to be part of the procurement of the SFMTA LRV procurement contract.</li> </ol>

- 3. Risk to be reviewed next meeting, status of LRV procurement plan to be advised
- 4. SFMTA Transit Division issued a revised procurement plan to the FTA in October identifying the following actions in the near term; a Provide ROM Cost funding schedule and cashflow drawdown November 2012

а.	Provide ROM Cost, funding schedule and cashflow drawdown	November 2012
b.	LRV Concept report	December 2012
c.	Service Demand Modeling Updates	December 2012
d.	Central Subway Service Plan Model Revisions	December 2012
e.	Finalize Fleet Strategy including Base Order Qty	December 2012
f.	Complete Acquisition Plan	December 2012
g.	Release updated Fleet Management Plan to FTA	February 2013
h.	Release updated Central Subway Service Plan to FTA	February 2013
i.	Release updated LRV Procurement Plan to FTA	February 2013

#### November 2012 Meeting:

1. Item 4a above – not yet received continue to monitor with LRV Procurement PM.

#### December 2012:

- 1. Item 4a items received Nov. 20 from SFMTA LRV Procurement PM include draft schedule, scope and budget.
- 2. CS team met with SFMTA Finance to initiate a cost control protocol and procedure for release of CS funds for procurement.
- 3. The draft schedule, scope and budget were submitted to the FTA Nov. 29 for review and comment prior releasing funds.
- 4. The FTA PMO is expected to provide a report to the SFMTA and CS by Dec. 15.
- 5. CS team to prepare a Task Order that will incorporate the final schedule, scope and budget.
- 6. The SFMTA LRV Procurement staff is currently expending funds in anticipation of receiving funds for retroactive costs.

January 2013:

- 1. Most of the procurement actions will advance by the end of February
- 2. Ground rules are being developed to control our funds from being syphoned away.
- 3. Expected December report from the FTA/PMO has not been received.

**Risk Reference: 83** 

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Cost of vehicles are more than estimated	1. Time the procurement of the vehicles to be part of the procurement of the SFMTA LRV procurement contract.

February 2013 Meeting:

- 1. Most procurement actions are still tracking for February
- 2. FTA/PMO report was received early February 2013
- 3. Central Subway is preparing a memorandum of understanding to track funds, FTA comments are being incorporated into the memorandum

## March 2013:

1. Central Subway completed a Memorandum of Agreement with SFMTA transit division to establish the phases, costs, scope and timing of initial LRV procurement activities resulting in an LRV procurement RFP in May 2013, and vendor selection early 2014.

## April 2013:

1. The RFP Package due May 2013 is expected to be complete on time.

## May 2013:

- 1. Request for Qualifications for new LRV's was released in March
- 2. Responses were due April 22
- 3. The review process is now underway with the results of the review due late June
- 4. Procurement of 175 cars
- 5. Award expected in 2014
- 6. First cars expected in 2016

## June 2013:

- 1. APTA meetings were held. One on one interviews with individuals who responded to the RFQ
- 2. Feedback comments on specification are being incorporated into the RFP to be released in June
- 3. Schedule impact has been lowered to a risk rating of (1).
- 4. Current assessment is an 8

## July 2013

- 1. RFP now scheduled for SFMTA Board approval in August prior to release.
- 2. Currently routing and vetting internal approvals for submission to Board

## September 2013

1. Due to the purchase of the vehicles no long being a sole source order the risk description will be revised to reflect the current purchase status.

**Risk Reference: 83** 

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Cost of vehicles are more than estimated	<ol> <li>Time the procurement of the vehicles to be part of the procurement of the SFMTA LRV procurement contract.</li> </ol>

October 2013:

1. RFQ released March 29, 2013 identified three qualified bidders to participate in procurement for Light Rail Vehicles (LRV4). Statement of Qualifications received April 22nd, 2013.

Four car builders, AnsaldoBreda, CAF USA Inc, Kawasaki Rail Car Inc, Siemens Industry Inc, are requested to submit proposals in response to RFP.

- 2. SFMTA Board approved the issuance of the RFP September 3, 2013 to procure up to 260 LRV4s.
  - a. Base order will be 175 24 expansion +151 replacement LRV4s.
  - b. Option for 85
- 3. The Notice of Advertisement, the RFP and specifications are now on the CCSF Office of Contracts web site: <u>http://mission.sfgov.org/OCABidPublication/BidDetail.aspx?K=7262</u> The scope covers design, manufacture, test, parts, special tools, manuals and training.
- 4. Pre-bid Conference: 10/29/2013 10am at SFMTA Muni Metro East Facility 601 25th St., 2nd Fl., Rm. 235 Bids Due: 2 pm 12/10/2013
- 5. Project Management Plan will be drafted and be in place prior to NTP.
- 6. Challenges: Extended procurement includes time gap between delivery of first 24 cars and 151 cars that requires FTA approval; funding and financing sources not clear

November 2013:

1. Await bid opening 12/10/13

## December 2013:

- 1. Bid opening delayed until February 2014
- 2. Need to monitor and confirm that procurement milestones will meet Central Subway testing and commissioning timelines

## January 2014:

1. Still awaiting bid opening, 18th February

## February 2014:

1. Opening of bids is anticipated to be the third week in February.

March 2014:

1. Bids opened on February 25, 2014. Currently under review by the LRV PM.

#### **Risk Reference: 52**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Unacceptable settlement and impact on major utilities at CTS. (OLD SEWERS AND OTHERS WITHIN 20FT SPACE BETWEEN TOP OF CAVERN AND STREET LEVEL)	<ol> <li>Evaluate effect of potential settlement on utilities.</li> <li>Slip-lined sewer by CTS contractor.</li> <li>Other utilities will be reinforced as needed, monitored during construction, and repaired / replaced as needed.</li> <li>Contractor to correct impact of settlements by repair.</li> <li>Have contingency repair/restoration plan.</li> <li>Utility contact information and procedure will be on plans.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Develop an allowance for utility repair.</li> <li>Include probable costs in estimate.</li> </ol>

**Initial Assessment:** 4, 2, 8 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 6 – Construction Risk

Status Log:

#### December 8, 2009 Meeting:

- 1. R. Edwards was identified as risk owner.
- 2. A. Hoe will status the mitigation strategy.
- 3. Mitigation strategy needs to establish metrics for acceptable settlement criteria.
- 4. Eliminated Mitigation Strategy Item 6: "Cistern at Washington St. will be repaired at the completion of construction and damaged pavements replaced" from this risk and will make a new Risk 52a to address the risk to the cistern.(Done)

Risk Owner: J. Wang

January 21, 2010 Meeting:

1. An action from the last risk mitigation meeting to "move Mitigation Strategy Item No. 6 to a new Risk 52a" was not done. R. Rocco will update the register accordingly.

November 2011:

- 1. Revised mitigation strategy 1 to indicate slip-lining of sewer by CTS contractor, not TBM contractor.
- 2. Removed mitigation strategy 2 "will pre-install tubamachettes for compensation grouting".
- 3. Revised mitigation strategy 4 to eliminate use of compensation grouting to correct impact of settlement.
- 4. Sewers will be slip-lined prior to cavern construction.
- 5. Affected utilities requiring monitoring are listed in BP drawings.
- 6. Technical specifications address requirement for leak detection and mitigation plans to repair leaks.

## January 2012 Meeting:

- 1. SFPUC submitted comments on the Effects of Settlement on Utilities report.
- 2. SFMTA will respond to comments.

## February 2012:

- 1. Mitigation strategy added to "Develop an allowance bid item for utility repair".
- 2. SFMTA responded to comments. None of the responses change the mitigation strategy for this risk.

## Risk Reference: 52

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Unacceptable settlement and impact on major utilities at CTS. (OLD SEWERS AND OTHERS WITHIN 20FT SPACE BETWEEN TOP OF CAVERN AND STREET LEVEL)	<ol> <li>Evaluate effect of potential settlement on utilities.</li> <li>Slip-lined sewer by CTS contractor.</li> <li>Other utilities will be reinforced as needed, monitored during construction, and repaired / replaced as needed.</li> <li>Contractor to correct impact of settlements by repair.</li> <li>Have contingency repair/restoration plan.</li> <li>Utility contact information and procedure will be on plans.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Develop an allowance for utility repair.</li> <li>Include probable costs in estimate.</li> </ol>

- 3. Leak detection requirements added to contract.
- 4. Allowance for utility repair included in contract.

#### September 2012 Meeting:

1. CTS has been resolved

#### October 2012 Meeting:

1. UMS & YBM yet to be closed out

#### May 2012:

- 1. Recommend reducing this risk rating to 3 (2, 2, 1) (reduce probability and cost impact)
  - a. Current probability (3), >50%, recommend reduce probability to (2), 10-50%
  - b. Current cost impact (3), \$1m \$3m, recommend reduce cost impact to (2), \$250k \$1m (CN 1300 CTS AL-8 = \$250k)
  - c. Current schedule impacts (1), <1 month, maintain schedule impact
- 2. Risk rating to remain at 6

## January 2014:

- 1. Comments regarding UMS and YBM are still to be closed out with SFPUC.
- 2. A letter responding to the outstanding comments will be sent to SFPUC the week of January 13th

## March 2014:

- 1. Letter was sent to SFPUC. Response from SFPUC is still pending.
- 2. SFPUC previous contact Betsey Eagon has left the division. SFMTA needs to identify the new contact person.

## **Risk Reference: 204**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Relocation of AT&T Vault and other utilities delays Work south of Bryant	<ol> <li>Continue negotiations/ coordination with utility owners.</li> <li>Contract 1300 is required to coordinate with utility companies for relocations</li> <li>SWAT team established to address utilities south of Bryant Street</li> <li>Initiate utility coordination meetings</li> <li>Proactively schedule AT&amp;T resources</li> </ol>

**Initial Assessment:** 2, 2, 4 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 6 – Construction Risk Risk Owner: R. Redmond/M. Acosta

#### Status Log:

#### December 2012:

1. Identified Risk and refined risk statement together with development of mitigation strategies.

## January 2013:

1. Need to setup a meeting with AT&T and a representative from the Design side to walk them through what will be done in the 1300 contract.

## February 2013:

- 1. Risk description refined.
- 2. AT&T were made aware of the potential need for relocation of the vault and duct bank in November 2012.
- 3. A meeting has been arranged between CSP and AT&T for Tuesday 2/19/13 to follow up on the November meeting and confirm that the vault and duct bank will need to be relocated.
- 4. Relocation of the vault has been included in the D&B element of the 1300 contract and is the responsibility of the contractor.
- 5. The 1300 contract requires the contractor to allow 12 months for AT&T to cut over new services from the existing duct bank into a new duct bank proposed within the eastern sidewalk of 4<sup>th</sup> Street between Bryant and Brannan Streets.

## March 2013:

- 1. Increase scope of this risk to include other utilities; Level 3, PG&E, MRY, ASB, SFWD, SFDT, Comcast.
- 2. Contractual execution of the trench installation to be discussed.
- 3. AT&T have not been contacted during 1300 bid.
- 4. It was discussed that the schedule impact of this risk rating should be increased to 4 (6-12 months), this increased the risk rating to 6

## April 2013:

- 1. Utility relocations may require a joint trench under the Contract 1300 design build scope.
- 2. If a joint trench is required under the contract the 1300 contractor would manage the implementation of the joint trench, SFMTA would manage the Form B process for reimbursement of the joint trench costs.

## **Risk Reference: 204**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Relocation of AT&T Vault and other utilities delays Work south of Bryant	<ol> <li>Continue negotiations/ coordination with utility owners.</li> <li>Contract 1300 is required to coordinate with utility companies for relocations</li> <li>SWAT team established to address utilities south of Bryant Street</li> <li>Initiate utility coordination meetings</li> <li>Proactively schedule AT&amp;T resources</li> </ol>

- 3. Mitigation strategy added that the 1300 contractor is required to coordinate with private utility companies.
- 4. A SWAT team has been established comprising DP-3 and the Design Oversight manager who are meeting weekly to address utilities south of Bryant. DP3 are preparing Notice of Intent letters for utilities to relocate.

May 2013:

- 1. Final Notice of Intent letters were sent to private utilities Friday 5/3/13.
- 2. Final Notice of Intent letters will be sent to AT&T and PG&E the week commencing 5/6/13.

#### July 2013:

- 1. Revisit following Tutor baseline submittal.
- 2. It is noted that the Tutor schedule submitted 5 days following bid closure allowed a 12 month period to cutover to the new AT&T duct but did not appear to allow adequate time for construction of the AT&T duct along 4<sup>th</sup> Street.
- 3. Utility coordination meeting will be held to ensure the contract requirements are understood by the contractor.

## October 2013:

- 1. DP-3 Tech memo being finalized
- 2. Relocation design and construction schedule to be developed

## November 2013:

- 1. Coordination meetings with utility owners to occur on a regular basis, Tutor Perini are to be invited
  - a. AT&T plan for resource allocation, confirmation of assets and scheduling of work is to be confirmed as AT&T have very few resources who can complete cutover work
- 2. SFMTA are currently working with AT&T to establish a feasible location to relocate Vault 2081
- 3. The importance of this work is to be discussed at the next executive partnering meeting with Tutor

## December 2013:

- 1. Letter was sent notifying the contractor of the criticality of this work and requesting a completion schedule
- 2. Potential vault location has been identified with AT&T. Feasibility is being confirmed via potholing

#### January 2014:

- 1. Potholing to confirm locations of utilities to commence the week of January 20th
- 2. AT&T are to be put on notice of the expected installation and cut over dates.

## Risk Reference: 204

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Relocation of AT&T Vault and other utilities delays Work south of Bryant	<ol> <li>Continue negotiations/ coordination with utility owners.</li> <li>Contract 1300 is required to coordinate with utility companies for relocations</li> <li>SWAT team established to address utilities south of Bryant Street</li> <li>Initiate utility coordination meetings</li> <li>Proactively schedule AT&amp;T resources</li> </ol>

3. Proactively requesting and scheduling AT&T resources added to mitigation strategy.

February 2014:

- 1. Potholing of utilities has commenced.
- 2. At the last executive partnering meeting Tutor Perini were tasked with commencing utility coordination meetings.
- 3. 1/31/14 Letter (CN 1300 Misc. Letter No. 0023) a letter was sent to AT&T notifying them of key dates from Tutor Perini's baseline schedule and requesting AT&T schedule it's resources to meet Tutor Perini's dates.

March 2014:

- 1. Potholing of utilities is 99% complete. Potholing work at 4th and Townsend remains.
- 2. Current AT&T ductbank relocation design is constructible but will include relocation of a 20' segment of 12" waterline and shifting of existing AT&T cables.
- 3. Tutor Perini is projected to start installation of AT&T ductbank by early April 2014 pending completion of soil profile work.

#### **Risk Reference: 208**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Additional cost to retrieve TBMs at the Pagoda Theatre site exceeds current budget	<ol> <li>Develop Scope with designers currently under contract</li> <li>Agree to alignment and details of new shaft location</li> <li>Issue PCC to Contractor</li> <li>Initial site works and borings if necessary</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>5. Obtain appropriate permits</li> <li>6. Investigate alternate procurement methods</li> </ol>

Initial Assessment: 3, 2, 8 Current Assessment: Risk Rating 8 – Construction Risk Risk Owner: R. Redmond/ A. Clifford

## Status Log:

February 2013 Meeting:

1. This is in the works, PCC 10 has been issued, a rough order of magnitude estimate has been established, BIH has been given a not to exceed of \$ 50,000 to do Pagoda demolition drawings, SFMTA is negotiating with Pagoda Owner for use of the site.

## March 2013:

- 1. Demolition drawings have been submitted to DBI for review.
- 2. If resolution of costs associated with the Pagoda option is not achieved, the TBMs will be buried to maintain budget requirements

## April 2013:

- 1. Contractors cost estimate currently at \$10.4m net compared to engineers estimate of \$5.6m
- 2. Agreement has not been reached on PCC-10.
- 3. Current schedule has the retrieval shaft finishing just in time for arrival of the TBMs in North Beach.
- 4. Recommend adding an additional mitigation item 6. investigate alternate procurement methods and strategies.
  - a. Option 1 agree PCC-10 with contractor Central Subway and BIH are preparing a joint paper summarizing the areas where agreement has not been reached on the PCC-10 estimates
  - b. Option 2 utilize a separate design contract and procure via design, bid, build
  - c. Option 3 bid demolition of the Pagoda theatre as a separate package
- 5. Central Subway are meeting with BIH 4/12/13 to discuss the joint paper prior to elevating for review by management
- 6. Recommend maintaining this risk rating.

## May 2013:

- 1. Contract 1277 for the demolition of the Pagoda Theatre site was advertised Saturday 5/4/13.
- 2. The Central Subway BIH joint paper is still being developed.
- 3. Current cost issue between SFMTA and Contractor are close to being resolved.

## June 2013:

- 1. PCC-10 is still being negotiated; further details will be provided next meeting.
- 2. Cutter soil mixing is being proposed to construct the retrieval shaft in lieu of tangent piles (ROM approx. \$600k less than tangent piles).

#### **Risk Reference: 208**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Additional cost to retrieve TBMs at the Pagoda Theatre site exceeds current budget	<ol> <li>Develop Scope with designers currently under contract</li> <li>Agree to alignment and details of new shaft location</li> <li>Issue PCC to Contractor</li> <li>Initial site works and borings if necessary</li> <li>Obtain appropriate permits</li> <li>Investigate alternate procurement methods</li> </ol>

- 3. Contract 1277 for demolition of the Pagoda theatre is to be awarded 6/19/13.
- 4. PCC 10 should be closed by June 14, or during the week commending 6/17/13.
- 5. Overall budget will be maintained.

## July 2013

1. Final negotiations have not yet concluded. Currently still on Budget

## October 2013:

1. Budget to be increased \$500,000 through CPT 690 being presented to the November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2013 SFMTA Board meeting

## November 2013:

- 1. CPT 690 approved by the SFMTA board on November 5<sup>th</sup>.
- 2. Change orders for the demolition of the Pagoda Theatre are currently being negotiated with the demolition contractor

## December 2013:

1. Change orders are still being assessed and negotiated with the demolition contractor

## February 2014:

- 1. Change orders for the 1277 Contract have been budgeted under CPT 690
- 2. Under the 1252 contract only minor potential changes have been experienced to date
- 3. 1252 Contractor submitted a DSC citing layer was harder than expected. SFMTA rejected the DSC after review of boring log indicated material found was anticipated.
- 4. Maintain this risk rating

## March 2014

- 1. 1252 Contractor's alleged harder ground issue is being tracked
- 2. Incremental ground samples are being taken to compare with the core log sample

## Risk Mitigation Status Risk Reference: 79

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Delay in obtaining tunnel easements (3 #) (goes to condemnation) - Costs of ROW may cost more than expected	<ol> <li>Engage Owners in negotiations as soon as possible.</li> <li>PM/CM will provide real estate specialists to facilitate.</li> </ol>

**Initial Assessment:** 2, 3, 6 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 1 – Requirement Risk

## Status Log:

October 2011 Meeting:

- 1. All Tunnel easements have been acquired.
- 2. Recommend to retire this risk from the project.
- 3. This risk will be revisited next month since not all easements have been obtained

## November 2011 Meeting:

- 1. Right of entry received for properties requiring easement.
- 2. Costs have been identified through appraisals of properties.
- 3. Actual value of easements needs to be negotiated with property owners.
- 4. Added mention of battered piles at UMS headwalls to the risk description as they will cross property lines.

## December 2011:

1. Right of possession for each of the three required parcels has been obtained.

## January 2012 Meeting:

- 1. City Attorney's Office is finalizing final easement deed language and price for all three easements.
- 2. To date owners of 801 Market and 1455 Stockton have agreed to purchase price of easement.
- 3. Awaiting cost agreement with 790 Market.
- 4. Recommend to reduce the risk rating.
- 5. Risk rating reduced to 1, 1, 1.

## February 2012 Meeting:

1. SFMTA is working with City Attorneys Office to finalized easement deed indemnity language for the 790 Market easement.

## March 2012 Meeting:

 SFMTA has provided the City Attorney's Office with additional information regarding tunnel and station related settlement at 790 Market. This information will be shared with the property owner at 790 Market in order to address their concerns of settlement and requests to include certain indemnity language in the tunnel easement. Current draft of the tunnel and station grouting licenses contain the requested indemnity language; CCSF Risk Manager, SFMTA and City Attorney do not feel owner's request for indemnity is appropriate in the easement deed.

Risk Owner: A. Clifford

## **Risk Reference: 79**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Delay in obtaining tunnel easements (3 #) (goes to condemnation) - Costs of ROW may cost more than expected	<ol> <li>Engage Owners in negotiations as soon as possible.</li> <li>PM/CM will provide real estate specialists to facilitate.</li> </ol>

#### April 2012 Meeting:

1. No update from the March report-out.

#### May 2012 Meeting:

1. No update from the March report-out.

## June 2012 Meeting:

1. No update from the March report-out.

## July 2012 Meeting:

1. No update from the March report-out.

## August 2012 Meeting:

1. The SFMTA has agreed to a final purchase price for the 801 Market and 1455 Stockton easements. 801 Market will transfer title (of the easement) through a purchase and sale agreement and 1455 Stockton will transfer title through a stipulated agreement. Final purchase price negotiations for easement under 790 Market are ongoing.

## September 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Central subway has pre-possession for all 3 easements.
- 2. Negotiations continue on terms and conditions for 801 Market and 1455 Stockton.
- 3. Negotiations continue on final purchase price for 790 Market easement.

## October 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Central subway has pre-possession for all 3 easements.
- 2. The SFMTA has executed a final stipulation agreement for possession of the easement under 1455 Stockton and all remaining funds have been transferred to the property owner.
- 3. Negotiations continue on terms and conditions for 801 Market.
- 4. Negotiations continue on final purchase price for 790 Market easement.

## November 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Central subway has pre-possession for all 3 easements.
- 2. The SFMTA has executed a final stipulation agreement for possession of the easement under 1455 Stockton, final transfer of funds is pending signature of the easement deed from the property owner.
- 3. Negotiations continue on terms and conditions for 801 and 790 Market.

## December 2012 Meeting:

1. Central subway has pre-possession for all 3 easements.

**Risk Reference: 79** 

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Delay in obtaining tunnel easements (3 #) (goes to condemnation) - Costs of ROW may cost more than expected	<ol> <li>Engage Owners in negotiations as soon as possible.</li> <li>PM/CM will provide real estate specialists to facilitate.</li> </ol>

2. Final transfer of funds for 1455 Stockton easement is pending signature of the easement deed from the property owner.

3. Negotiations continue on final purchase price, terms and conditions for 801 Market and 790 Market Easement Agreements.

February 2013 Meeting:

- 1. Central subway has pre-possession for all 3 easements.
- 2. Purchase and Sale Agreements for the 1455 Stockton easement and the 801 Market have been finalized. Final execution is pending the receipt of stamped and signed legal descriptions and plat maps from the San Francisco County Surveyor.
- 3. Negotiations continue on final purchase price, terms and conditions for the 790 Market Easement Agreement.

March 2013:

- 1. 1455 Stockton and 801 Market easement deeds executed by SFMTA Director.
- 2. 790 Market price and terms are still being negotiated.

## April 2013:

- 1. Risk owner changed from G. Hollins to A. Clifford
- 2. 790 Market Street The current difference between the Central Subway offer and the owners valuation + severance damages is \$280,000

## October 2013:

1. Owners appraised easement value has been included in RAMP update 5

## November 2013:

1. Program Director and building owner discussing path to resolution of the 790 Market easement negotiation

## December 2013:

1. 790 Market St - A counter offer (for settlement) is expected from the property during December

## January 2014:

1. 790 Market St - A counter offer (for settlement) from the owner is still outstanding

## February 2014:

1. 790 Market St - A counter offer from the owner is still outstanding

## March 2014

1. 790 Market Street - counter offer is still outstanding from owner.

**Risk Reference: 15** 

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Major TBM machine failure	1. Closely monitor condition and maintenance of the machines.
Initial Assessment: 1, 2, 2	Risk Owner: A. Clifford

**Initial Assessment:** 1, 2, 2 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 2 – Construction Risk

#### Status Log:

October 2011:

- 1. Risk remains active.
- 2. Contractor has indicated that they plan to use a newly manufactured TBM for this project.

#### October 2013:

- 1. TBMS have been designed specifically for Central Subway conditions
- 2. Update on preventative maintenance to be provided
- 3. Confirm number of spare main bearings available per specification

## December 2013:

- 1. Specification section 31 71 19
  - a. One spear main bearing assembly and seals, one spare main drive gear available for replacement of the corresponding parts to be provided with <u>each</u> TBM
  - b. Spares shall be identified and available for the duration of TBM excavation and be deliverable to the site within 1 week

## January 2014:

- 1. Both TBMs have experienced thrust ram failure in the last month
  - a. The Southbound TBM was stopped for approximately 2 weeks
  - b. The Northbound TBM was stopped for approximately 1 week
- 2. The tunneling contractor is assessing options to rectify the issue which can be implemented during the regular maintenance periods for the machines. Option 1) replace seals with a different seal 2) install an additional seal
- 3. A summary of the ongoing maintenance on the TBMs will be provided next meeting

## February 2014:

- 1. Daily, weekly and monthly maintenance checklists are used to inspect structural steel, shield, main drive, main bearing, rotary coupling, gear, lock, screw conveyor, erector, thrust cylinders, segment feeder hydraulic power unit, belt conveyors, crane system and hoisting devices, water circuits, hydraulic circuits, grout injection, bentonite system, additive system, secondary ventilation, primary ventilation, gas warning system, hose drums, and cable drums.
- 2. Daily maintenance:
  - a. visual checks for cleanliness, wear or damage,
  - b. functional checks for noise, fluid levels, and leaks
- 3. Weekly maintenance:
  - a. Visual and functional checks

Risk Reference: 15

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Major TBM machine failure	1. Closely monitor condition and maintenance of the machines.

b. Taking samples of fluids, checking torque of fastenings, confirming operation of elements

- 4. Monthly maintenance:
  - a. Oil Analysis of main drive, erector, hydraulic power unit
  - b. Check screw conveyor wall thickness
- 5. A status update of the replacement of failed thrust rams needs to be done.

March 2014

- 1. The contractor has replaced;
  - a. Northbound 11/16 thrust rams
  - b. Southbound 9/16 thrust rams
- 2. The remaining thrust rams are not expected to have issues

Risk Reference: 50		
Risk		Mitigation Strategy
Station contractor delayed by tunnel contractor since station contractor cannot break in to the tunnels until the tunnels have been finished.	$\checkmark$	<ol> <li>Include Milestone dates in Tunnel Contract when the turnover of tunnels to CTS contractor has to occur.</li> <li>Actively monitor progress towards schedule milestones.</li> <li>Add constraints in CTS contract specification.</li> </ol>

Initial Assessment: 3, 4, 11 Current Assessment: Risk Rating 3 – Construction Risk

#### Status Log:

September 24, 2009 Meeting:

- 1. Attendees agreed that an LONP is one item that would alleviate this risk.
- 2. A request for an LONP is presently being prepared. It appears at this time that an LONP has a good chance of being granted.

February 2012:

- 1. Constraints on CTS contractor added to specification sections Work Sequence and Contract Interface.
- 2. LONP was granted by FTA for construction of the launch box.

March 2013:

1. Contract 1300 Specification section 01 12 17, 4 a) – tunneling equipment to be removed from CTS 450days following NTP (timeframe approved through CMB and included in CN 1300 addendum 3).

April 2013:

- 1. Discuss revising this risk description to 'break into tunnel delayed by 1252 contractor' as applicable to the 1300 contract.
- 2. Specification timing for tunneling equipment to be removed from UMS and YBM to be checked
- 3. Current 1252 cross passage completion dates and 1300 tunnel break in dates (if NTP June 20, 2013):

Contract 1252			Contract 1300			
Milestone	Contract constraint	Current Milestone	Milestone	Contract Constraint	Milestone Date	
(complete)	(days following NTP)	date		(days following NTP)	(if NTP June 20, 2013)	
CP1	851	6/4/14	Break into tunnel CTS	450	9/13/14	
CP2, CP3 & 4	851,915	6/4/14, 8/6/14	Break into tunnel UMS	620	3/2/15	
CP5	Not a milestone	8/8/14	Break into tunnel YBM	620	3/2/15	
Tunnel Substantial completion	1157	4/10/15	Tunnel Portal Access	830	9/28/15	

May 2013:

- 1. PMCM will continue to monitor the interface between the 1252 and 1300 contracts.
- 2. No change to report.

Risk Owner: A. Clifford

Risk Mitigation Status		
Risk Reference: 50		
Risk		Mitigation Strategy
Station contractor delayed by tunnel contractor since station contractor cannot break in to the tunnels until the tunnels have been finished.	$\checkmark$	<ol> <li>Include Milestone dates in Tunnel Contract when the turnover of tunnels to CTS contractor has to occur.</li> <li>Actively monitor progress towards schedule milestones.</li> <li>Add constraints in CTS contract specification.</li> </ol>

June 2013:

1. PMCM continue to monitor the interface between the 1252 and 1300 contracts.

Nov 2013:

- 1. Contract 1252 milestones were delayed in October because of delays to the Northbound TBM assembly and testing.
- 2. Concurrent delays to the Retrieval Shaft are also having an impact to 1252 Milestones 1 & 2.
- 3. Future forecast trend to be developed considering progress to date, and expected progress for the remaining work and geological conditions (i.e. boring through rock)
- 4. Central Subway team to check that BIH recovery schedule uses reasonable assumptions based on expected progress

	CN1252 Contract Requirement**	CN1252 Oct Finish	CN1300 Requirement	1252 Oct & 1300 Variance	
YBM Headwalls Complete	N/A	N/A 20-Sep-14 A 31-Jul-13 (5		(51)	CD
UMS Headwalls Complete	N/A	8-Nov-13	14-Sep-13	(55)	CD
CTS Tunnel Interface Complete					
1252 MS 1 - Complete Cross Passages 1&2 (CTS)	10-Jun-14	9-Jul-14	9-Sep-14	62	CD
UMS Tunnel Interface Complete					
1252 MS2 - Complete Cross Passages 3&4 (UMS)	13-Aug-14	29-Aug-14	26-Feb-15	181	CD
YBM Tunnel Interface Complete	N/A	30-Sep-14	26-Feb-15	149	CD
1252 Tunnel Substantial Completion	12-Apr-15	11-May-15			
Tunnel Portal Completion					
1252 Tunnel Final Completion	12-May-15	8-Jun-15	24-Sep-15	108	CD

\*\* Includes PCC10 & COR8

December 2013:

- 1. Analysis of expected TBM progress not yet complete a. (see analysis chart)
- 2. Await submittal of Recovery Schedule 5 from contractor

Risk Mitigation Status			
Risk Reference: 50			
Risk			Mitigation Strategy
Station contractor delayed by tunnel contractor since station contractor cannot break in to the tunnels until the tunnels have been finished.	$\checkmark$	t 2. /	Include Milestone dates in Tunnel Contract when the turnover of tunnels to CTS contractor has to occur. Actively monitor progress towards schedule milestones. Add constraints in CTS contract specification.

January 2014:

- 1. No current impact at interface points.
- 2. The Tunnel Contractor's Recovery schedule 5 is still to be assessed against the Station contractors schedule to determine if a conflict between the two contracts is expected.
- 3. The recovery schedule will not be approved unless the Program believes the dates to be realistic.

February 2014:

- 1. CN 1252 Recovery schedule 5 (submitted 1/21/14) currently under assessment
- 2. The monitoring of the two contracts existing float in the schedules is ongoing.

March 2014

- 1. Approval of CN1252 recovery schedule is pending
- 2. Milestone 1 & 2 remains 45 days late and 30 days late on substantial completion

**Risk Reference: 112** 

Risk Mitigation Strategy	
Major safety event halts work	1. Require contractor to provide for a full-time Safety Manager.

#### **Initial Assessment:** 5, 3, 4 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 4 – Construction Risk

#### Status Log:

February 2012:

- 1. Contract Technical Specifications Section 01 35 29.10 Health and Safety includes procedures and contractor requirements to prevent accidents.
- 2. Contracts require contractor to provide a full-time Safety Manager.
- 3. Central Subway Program retains a full-time Safety Manager.

July 2013:

- 1. Safety inspections being carried out monthly.
- 2. Safety inspection observations are being communicated to the contractor as required.
- 3. Cal OSHA mining inspections held every 2 months.
- 4. Executive safety meetings being held quarterly.

## February 2014:

- 1. There have been several safety incidents recently prompting the tunnel contractor to hold a full staff stand down and remind workforce to be vigilant about personal safety
- 2. Safety Manager to broadcast safety incidents in real time to program management
- 3. Monthly safety walks, Cal OSHA, and executive safety meetings continue
- 4. Follow up report to be given to the risk committee on the tabulation and analysis of program safety managers daily safety observations

## March 2014

- 1. Safety Managers daily reports were submitted for review. The Risk Manager will assist the Safety Manager with the information being tracked on the daily report by way of suggested content structure.
- 2. A recurring safety agenda item will be added to the weekly Program Management meeting on the 1st Monday of the month

Risk Owner: A. Clifford

#### **Risk Reference: 99**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Breakdown in relationships between SFMTA and Contractors during construction results in increased claims and delays to the overall construction schedule.	<ol> <li>Executive partnering and alternate dispute resolution.</li> <li>Train staff in adherence to issue resolution process</li> </ol>

#### **Initial Assessment:** 5, 3, 8 **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 5 – Construction Risk

## Status Log:

## Risk Owner: R. Redmond

## February 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Mitigation measures being implemented.
- 2. Incentives not being used due to legal obstacles.
- 3. Recommend to reduce the risk rating.

## December 2012:

- 1. The combined contract will reduce the number of interfaces between contracts and potential for relationships to become strained
- 2. The CMOD process is being improved for quicker resolution of change orders
- 3. Mitigation 2 'Provide incentives in construction contracts in addition to penalties' was removed from the mitigation strategy as this is not being used (as noted in the February 2012 update).

## March 2013:

- 1. A breakdown in the relationship has occurred due to untimely resolution of changes and unresolved contract interpretation issues.
- 2. SFMTA CMod SWAT team dedicated to processing changes has been implemented to improve the performance of change processing.
- 3. This improvement has been recognized by both parties.
- 4. An issue resolution process has been formalized to address disputes and avoid claims.

## April 2013:

- 1. The issue resolution process is not being followed consistently. BIH are not responding in a timely manner and are revisiting prior agreements in the issue resolution process.
- 2. Brian Kelleher is developing observations and training for adherence to issue resolution process.

## May 2013:

1. New Issue Resolution Ladder process presented at the CMB

## June 2013:

- 1. The first meeting was held with BIH on May 21<sup>st</sup>, 2013 utilizing the refined issue resolution process that was presented to the CMB in May with positive results. A follow up meeting is being held June 14<sup>th</sup> to further refine the process.
- 2. Staff training in the issue resolution process is ongoing.
- 3. A similar meeting with Tutor Perini will be held in future.

#### **Risk Reference: 99**

Risk		Mitigation Strategy
Breakdown in relationships between SFMTA and Contractors during construction results in increased claims and delays to the overall construction schedule.	1	<ol> <li>Executive partnering and alternate dispute resolution.</li> <li>Train staff in adherence to issue resolution process</li> </ol>

October 2013:

1. Issue resolution ladder is not working as intended and is to be discussed at the next partnering session

November 2013:

- 1. Issue resolution ladder to be discussed at next partnering meeting to be held 11/18/13.
- 2. Risk rating reduced as relationship with 1252 Contractor has improved
- 3. Risk rating reduced to 5. Probability (2) 10-50%, Cost Impact (4) \$3m-\$10m, Schedule Impact (1) < 1 month.

4.

December 2013:

1. IRL process topic of discussion during Partnering. Contractor has agreed to focus more efforts to resolve issues.

March 2014:

- 1. Executive Partnering session with Contractor for 1300 (TPC) was held 27JAN14. Follow-up dedicated meeting for the schedule brainstorming was calendared for the 28FEB14 but subsequently cancelled by TPC. Currently not rescheduled
- 2. Regular quarterly partnering meeting held with 1252 Contractor (BIH). Openly discussed contentious environment between parties and how to improve. Executive management team committed to process moving forward, established follow-up dates to review schedule recovery, retention reduction and release, and timely processing of progress payments.

## Risk Reference: 196

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned.	<ol> <li>Continue to negotiate with building owners</li> <li>Required Notices and Appraisals to be completed</li> <li>Commence condemnation process with City Attorneys</li> </ol>

## Initial Assessment: new risk

#### **Current Assessment:** Risk Rating 4 – Construction Risk

## Status Log:

September 2012 Meeting:

- 1. Risk 57 retired August 2012. New Risk 196 opened.
- 2. To date 9/27 required Station Licenses have been signed by the respective property owners.
- 3. 5/27 have reached verbal agreement or have been sent to the owner for signature.
- 4. 13/27 Licenses are outstanding
  - a. 7 of the 13 outstanding Licenses are progressing toward agreement
  - b. The Program team is currently preparing for condemnation on the following 6 Licenses should 1 Stockton (Apple) & 212 Stockton (Bvlgari) (same property manager)

216 Stockton (Dior)

39 Stockton (Disney)

19 Stockton (Armani) – unresponsive owner

250 Fourth Street (Olivet University)

- 5. Targeting Board of Supervisors 10/23/12
  - a. remaining Notice of Intent to Appraise mailed 8/30/12
  - b. finalize list of condemnation properties by 9/14/12
  - c. remaining appraisals to be completed by 9/20/12
  - d. meeting with board clerk 9/21/12
  - e. government code offer letters to be sent by 9/27/12

## November 2012 Meeting:

- 1. To date;
  - a. 11/27 required station licenses have been signed by the respective property owners.
  - b. 4/27 have reached verbal agreement or final drafts have been sent to the owner to sign.
  - c. 12/27 Station licenses remain outstanding, 3 of which are being negotiated with the a single property owner (Macy's) and are expected to reach agreement.
- 2. 9/27 Remaining station licenses + 2 remaining tunnel easements (Central Subway has possession of the two tunnel easements) have been calendared for the December 11<sup>th</sup> Board of Supervisors Hearing.
  - a. Central Subway project team and the City Attorney's office submitted draft Resolutions of Necessity to the Clerk of the Boards office November 5<sup>th</sup>.
  - b. The Central Subway Project team continues to negotiate with the property owners.

Risk Owner: A. Clifford

## Risk Reference: 196

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned.	<ol> <li>Continue to negotiate with building owners</li> <li>Required Notices and Appraisals to be completed</li> <li>Commence condemnation process with City Attorneys</li> </ol>

c. The required access for compensation grouting and building monitoring is expected approximately May 10<sup>th</sup> 2013 should this need to be obtained through the eminent domain process.

#### April 2013:

- 1. Outstanding Tunnel & Station Group A licenses: (a, b and c do not have the condemnation option available at this time)
  - a. Macy's 3 properties licenses for the remaining 3 properties to be sent to Macy's 4/11/13 (233 Geary, 120 Stockton, 101 Stockton)
  - **b.** 1013-1015 Stockton Street the final agreement was hand delivered to the owners representative for signature 4/10/13. Signature of the 3 owners is expected by 4/19
  - c. 3 Pagoda properties (725 Filbert, 659 Columbus, 1717 Powell) details and offer letters have been sent to owners
  - d. 950 Stockton Street Central Subway continues to negotiate with the HOA and land owner while working with the City attorney to commence condemnation if agreement cannot be reached by 4/19
  - e. 216 Stockton resolving final issues with owner (condemnation to commence 4/19 if agreement cannot be reached)
  - f. 1 Stockton and 212 Stockton final agreement sent to owner for signature 4/9/13
  - g. 1455 Stockton Street condemnation suit filed 4/9/13, possession estimated mid-August 2013
  - h. 19 Stockton Street condemnation suit filed 2/13/13, possession estimated 7/6/13

#### July 2013:

- 1. 4 Licenses to be obtained by SFMTA are outstanding
  - a. 659 Columbus Ave (1252 Contract)
    - License has been verbally agreed and sent to the owner for signature (expecting signed agreement by 7/15).
    - The Program has not prepared to condemn this license.
  - b. 1455 Stockton (1252 Contract)
    - The pre-judgment possession hearing scheduled for 7/9/13 has been continued to 7/23/13. Estimated possession date is now 8/26/13.
    - The project team continues to seek resolution of the license through negotiation with BofA and the owner.
  - c. 950 Stockton (1300 Contract)
    - Condemnation action filed 7/8/13. Possession of the license is estimated to be late November 2013.
    - The project team continues to work with the Mandarin Tower Homeowners Association (HOA) and the owner to reach agreement. Currently the Project team is requesting the HOA to sign the agreement with a condition that compensation grouting work cannot proceed until agreement from the landowner is received.
  - d. 19 Stockton (1300 Contract)
    - Condemnation suit filed 2/13/13.
    - The owner has engaged trial condemnation attorneys and is challenging the City's 'right to take' this license.
    - The pre-judgment possession hearing originally scheduled for 6/7/13 and was continued by the court.
    - City attorney availability pushed pre-judgment possession hearing date to early August 2013.

## **Risk Reference: 196**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned.	<ol> <li>Continue to negotiate with building owners</li> <li>Required Notices and Appraisals to be completed</li> <li>Commence condemnation process with City Attorneys</li> </ol>

• Owner attorney availability pushed pre-judgment possession hearing date September 9<sup>th</sup> 2013. If the motion for prejudgment possession is successful, possession of the license would be obtained approximately October 11<sup>th</sup> 2013.

#### October 2013:

- 1. 950 Stockton Street
  - a. Negotiation
    - Signed license received from MTOA
    - Negotiation continues with property owners

## b. Condemnation

- Signed disclaimers of interest have been received from most owners of record who can now be dismissed from the condemnation action
- Condemnation continues as summarized below (also see attached graphic)

File motion to court for service/summons via publication	10/11/2013	
	35	days
Court decision on service via publication (estimated date)	11/15/2013	
	5	days
Publish summons (once a week for 4 weeks)	11/20/2013	
	30	days
Publication period (court date set following 30day period)	12/20/2013	
	90	days
Pre-judgment possession hearing	3/20/2014	
	5	days
File documents with court & serve 30 day notice to owners 'notice of entry of order'	3/25/2014	
	20	days
Serve 10 day notice to owners (not an eminent domain requirement)	4/14/2014	
	10	days
Possession of license	4/24/2014	

2. 19 Stockton Street

a. Pre-judgment possession hearing held 9/26/13 Pre-judgment possession granted 10/4/13

## Risk Mitigation Status Risk Reference: 196

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned.	<ol> <li>Continue to negotiate with building owners</li> <li>Required Notices and Appraisals to be completed</li> <li>Commence condemnation process with City Attorneys</li> </ol>

Possession 30days following service to owner - early November

b.	Right to take hearing	11/18/13
----	-----------------------	----------

c. Compensation Trial 3/10/14

## November 2013:

- 1. 950 Stockton Street
  - a. Signed licenses received from MTOA and basement condo owner
  - b. Conference call held with Owners attorney and engineer 11/8/13. SFMTA to send a revised agreement incorporating comments discussed on the call to owner for review.
  - c. City attorney's office is preparing motion for service via publication which is the next step in the condemnation process. This step is occurring 1 month later than anticipated (delays due to 19 Stockton defense preparations).
  - d. Revised condemnation dates to be included in next schedule update.

## December 2013:

- 1. 950 Stockton Street
  - a. Condemnation
    - City Attorney's office continues condemnation through courts
    - Possession of license through condemnation is expected prior to contractor installation of TAMS
  - b. Negotiation
    - Central Subway Staff and City Attorney's Office (CAO) continue negotiation of license with owner
    - Revised license sent to owners attorney for review 12/9/13
- 2. 19 Stockton Street (City has possession of license)
  - a. Condemnation
    - Right to take hearing held 25/11/13, CAO filed closing brief to court 12/6/13.
    - Decision expected December 2013/Jan 2014
    - Compensation trial is still scheduled for March 2014
  - b. Negotiation
    - Court ordered settlement conference held 11/14/13
    - Central Subway provided best and final offer for the license to the owner and has not received a response
- January 2014:
  - 1. 950 Stockton Street
    - a. Negotiation complete. Signed license agreements have been obtained from the 2 building owners, the homeowners association, and the basement commercial condo owner

## **Risk Reference: 196**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned.	<ol> <li>Continue to negotiate with building owners</li> <li>Required Notices and Appraisals to be completed</li> <li>Commence condemnation process with City Attorneys</li> </ol>

#### b. Condemnation

- City Attorney's office will file withdrawal of the condemnation action following execution of the signed agreements
- 2. 19 Stockton Street (City has possession of license)
  - a. Condemnation
    - Right to take hearing held 25/11/13, 1/13/14 Court ruled in favor of the City.
    - Compensation trial is scheduled for March 10, 2014. Central Subway will request another settlement conference with the owner prior to the compensation trial.

## b. Negotiation

• Central Subway has not received a response to its December 2013 offer to the Owner

## February 2014:

- 1. 19 Stockton
  - a. Central Subway staff and the City attorney's office continue to reach out to the owner to open a settlement dialogue prior to the compensation trial scheduled for March 10<sup>th</sup>.
  - b. Possession of the license has been granted through the condemnation process.
  - c. The potential cost of the license has been identified in the real estate budget contingency.

#### March 2014

- 1. 19 Stockton Street
  - a. The Compensation Trial has been continued until April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014
  - b. The City Attorney's Office will request a pre-trial settlement conference with the property owner

#### **Risk Reference: 218**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Air replenishment system no longer required – Agency bears unnecessary cost of installation and maintenance of an air replenishment system that is no longer required.	<ol> <li>Contractor to be notified to place procurement on hold</li> <li>Central Subway to seek approval from SFFD to delete the system from the contract</li> </ol>
Initial Assessment: 1	Risk Owner: A. Hoe

## Current Assessment: 1

## Status Log: test

## November 2013:

- 1. New risk identified in light of recent changes to the San Francisco Fire code removing the requirement for air replenishment systems from high rise buildings
- 2. Strategy for approval to remove the system is to be discussed
- 3. Action on this risk needs to be taken soon.

## December 2013:

- 1. Contractor will be put on notice to put everything on hold.
- 2. Information about the code change will be forwarded to the Contractor.
- 3. A meeting with the SF Fire Marshall to discuss the issue is pending.

## January 2014:

- 1. Initial risk rating assessed at 1 by the Risk Assessment Committee on 1/14/14
- 2. Mitigation Strategy items 1 and 2 added

## February 2014:

- 1. A letter has been sent to TPC notifying them to place the procurement of the air replenishment system on hold (Letter No. CN 1300-0072, dated 1/28/14).
- 2. Central Subway are meeting with SFFD 2/19 to discuss what is required (if anything) in lieu of the air replenishment system.
- 3. SFFD has requested that an equivalency be provided

## March 2014:

- 1. Meeting with SFFD to discuss cart to bring air tanks from the station or cross passage
- 2. Review elevator shaft to determine if the current shaft design will meet the fire resistance criteria for elevator
- 3. Review code application with SFFD

## **Risk Reference: 219**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Clearance between YBM slurry wall and constructed tunnels results in a strike causing safety or structural concerns	<ol> <li>Program Safety Manager to prepare a comprehensive safety plan to address this issue</li> <li>Program to prepare a written position/response to concerns raised regarding this issue</li> </ol>
Initial Accossment: 2 - (2 2 1)	<b>Bick Owner:</b> P. Pedmand

Initial Assessment: 3 - (2,2,1) Current Assessment: 3 Risk Owner: R. Redmond

#### Status Log:

November 2013:

- 1. New risk identified the possibility that the clearance between the outside of the SB tunnel, to the inside of the YBM slurry wall may be as little as 3" (if construction tolerance is used for both the tunnel and the slurry wall).
- 2. Communication with the designer to be put on record to the effect that the Central Subway Program understands that this was coordinated between DP1 and DP2 during design.
- 3. A graphic is to be generated showing the clearance between the outside of the tunnel, the inside of the slurry wall, and the reinforcing detail at the corner of the headwall.
- 4. The mitigation strategy is to be developed.

## December 2013:

1. The CM Team has requested the Contractor submit a new submittal on the slurry wall.

January 2014:

- 1. Initial risk rating agreed by the Risk Assessment committee 1/14/14
  - a. Probability 2 (10-50%), Cost Impact 2 (\$250k-\$1m), Schedule Impact 1 (<1 month)
- 2. Risk description revised to reflect that the issue pertains to the clearance between the slurry wall and the tunnel for the entire length of YBM station
- 3. Report back next month on the survey location for the tunnel (what tolerance has the tunnel been constructed to?)
- 4. Mitigation strategy updated

## February 2014:

- 1. A meeting was held between CSP, BIH and TPC to discuss the communication protocol between surface and tunneling works.
- 2. BIH and TPC safety plans will be updated to ensure they include an adequate communication tree between surface and tunneling works
- 3. Prior to commencing work activity information is required to be included in the Safety Plan

March 2014:

1. Review comments of TPC's work plan to include - addressing accurate placement of the walls and communications with the Tunnel project when in proximity

#### **Risk Reference: 220**

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
Compensation grouting at the Pagoda site is delayed by resolution of the scope and role of the designer, and contractor.	<ol> <li>Direct the contractor to perform the work under the contract</li> <li>Document (in real time – daily basis if necessary) if the contractor refuses to diligently pursue the work</li> <li>Notify contractors bonding company if the contractor refuses to carry out the work</li> </ol>
Initial Assessment: 5 (5,1,1) Current Assessment: 5	Risk Owner: A. Hoe

#### Status Log:

#### November 2013:

- 1. Risk identified CSDG have advised that they do not have the appropriate resources to direct the compensation grouting work for mitigation at the properties surrounding the Pagoda Theatre site
- 2. Mitigation strategy and risk profile to be discussed

December 2013:

1. Options to find qualified person are being explored.

January 2014:

- 1. Risk mitigation strategy added, initial risk assessment agreed by the Risk Assessment Committee 1/14/14
- 2. A letter will be issued to the contractor instructing them to perform the contract work, and that design support will be provided on an as needed basis.

February 2014:

- 1. A letter was issued to the contractor (letter 271, dated January 14, 2014), the contractor responded with a letter on January 20, 2014 to the effect that it accepts no liability for SFMTAs direction of the compensation grouting work.
- 2. Central Subway will respond to this latest letter refuting the Contractor's position.

March 2014:

1. Compensation grouting work is already in process and Contractor and the design team have been in coordination for pre-condition grout activities. The designer has been providing approval on the compensation grout pressure and volume based on recommendation by the contractor.

## Risk Mitigation Status Risk Reference: 222

Risk	Mitigation Strategy
ARGUS Monitoring Software - Sharing Instrumentation for CN1252 and CN1300	1. Outline responsibilities for each contractor (1252 & 1300)
Initial Assessment: 3 (3,1,2) Current Assessment: 6	Risk Owner: R. Redmond

Status Log:

February 2014:

1. A delineation of responsibility needs to be established for each Contractor to avoid a potential liability issue.

March 2014:

1. Risk has been assessed. Current risk rating is at a 6.

A	Н		J	К	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	S
		sk Profile Severity Score			Low (1)	Medium (2)	High (3)	Very High (4)	Significant (5)	Legend		
		Score 1 2 3 4 5		Probability	< 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3		
Central Subw REV : 30	ay Project San Francisco	5 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Cost Impact	< \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	Low 3 - 9	RISK RATING = PROBABILITY X ( <u>COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT</u> ) 2	
DATE ISSUE	D: 03/11/14			Schedule Impact	< 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months	<> 6 - 12 Months	> 12 Months	Medium >10 High	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
										rigi		
Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Risk Category	Probability %	Cost Impact	Schedule Impact	Calc Impact	Calc %	Risk Rating	Score	Status	Must Compl Date
l	Additional night shift work required at portal launcl box due to bus storage facility relocation delay	h Work with TJPA to coordinate construction schedules and GGB to coordinate Traffic Routing.	с	2	1	-	1	35%	1		2 No longer considered a risk. GGB not scheduled to be utilizing site until 2014	3/20/1 TUN110
3	Flowing groundwater in vicinity of UMS Station could make adequate annulus grouting difficult during tunneling	<ol> <li>Use appropriate additives such as accelerators in primary annulus backfill grouting, if needed.</li> <li>Use secondary grouting as needed.</li> </ol>	С	1	1	1	1	10%	1		2 Plans issued for bid contain mitigation measures	8/28/1 TUN11
E	Underground obstructions tunnel and retrieval shaft	Include differing site conditions in GPs as well as DRB to adjudicate conflicts and minimize costs	С	2	2	3	3	35%	5	1	Mitigation measures have been implemented. Maintain adequate contingency throughout tunnel construction	2/5/1 TUN11
13	Damage / settlement 3x 5' to old brick sewer running parallel to tunnel alignment	Slip Line 3'x5' brick sewer before TBM reaches CTS.	С	1	1	-	1	10%	1		Tunnel profile has been lowered 25 ft. and plans developed for replacement of at risk utilities in advance of tunnel drive.	12/16/ TUN1:
15	Major TBM machine failure	Closely monitor condition and maintenance of the machines.	С	1	2	2	2	10%	2		4 Contractor has indicated that they plan to use a newly manufactured TBM for this project.	2/5/1 TUN11
	Jet grouted station end walls are installed by Tunnel contractor. Station Contractor assumes risk of possibly leakage problems due to insufficiently qualify of end walls.	1. In the 1252 contract, have tunnel contractor set aside a pre-determined amount of money in escrow that can be used to repair any leaks encountered by the station contractors after the in the jet grout end walls are excavated.	С	3	1	1	1	50%	3		Project configuration changes include headwall 6 designs with multiple levels of redundancy. Warranty provisions added to contact language.	5/26/ UMS1
rack Embedded rack: Special												
10S Station			I	1		1	1	I		-		
1	Incomplete cutoff of groundwater at MOS	<ol> <li>Require additional grouting to limit leakage to permissible level.</li> <li>Include probable grouting work in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> </ol>	С	1	1	-	1	10%	1		1 Mitigation measure to be made part of the contract documents	4/28 MOS1
2	Public complaints result in unanticipated restrictions on construction at UMS	<ol> <li>Public outreach.</li> <li>Maintain regular and open communications so Public knows construction plans and progress at all times.</li> <li>Require Contractor to assist Public Outreach efforts, maintain access to businesses and assist with deliveries and pick-ups, control noise and vibration, continuously cleanup site, and provide pedestrian and vehicle traffic and protection plans, informational signage, ADA ramps and minimum sidewalk widths.</li> <li>Work with MOED to increase cleanup of the area and assist pedestrians across streets, as needed.</li> <li>Monitor and enforce noise, vibration, ADA, traffic, and cleanup requirements.</li> <li>Quickly process and resolve damage and accident claims from the Public.</li> <li>Assumed this work in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> </ol>	С	1	1	-	1	10%	1		Implementation of mitigation measures part of 1 Communication/Outreach plan and certain aspects to be included in the contract documents.	9/16/ MOS12

	Register					•						1	
	Α		k Profile	J	К	L	M Medium	N High	O Very High	P Significant	Q Legend	R	S
1	PROJECT		elihood Severity Score Score 1 2 3 4 5			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
2	Central Subw	vay Project San Francisco			Probability	/ < 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3 Low	RISK RATING = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
3	REV : 30	-			Cost Impac	t < \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	3 - 9 Medium	2	
					Schedule Impac	t < 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months		> 12 Months	>10	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
4	DATE ISSUE	D: 03/11/14									High		
	Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Risk Category	Probability %	Cost Impact	Schedule Impact	Calc Impact	Calc %	Risk Rating	Score	Status	Must Complete by Date
88	27	Loss of business results in unanticipated restrictions on construction at YBM	<ol> <li>Public outreach.</li> <li>Maintain regular and open communications so Merchants know construction plans and progress at all times.</li> <li>Require Contractor to coordinate with merchants, maintain access to businesses and assist with deliveries and pick-ups, continuously cleanup site, and provide pedestrian and vehicle traffic and protection plans, informational signage, and minimum sidewalk widths.</li> <li>Require barriers to protect pedestrians and shield them from noise and dirt from construction.</li> <li>Work with MOEWD to increase cleanup of the area and assist pedestrians across streets.</li> <li>Include this work in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> </ol>	С	1	2	1	2	10%	2	3	Mitigation measures to be implemented and to the extent possible requirements will be written into contract documents to minimize disruptions to businesses.	4/28/15 MOS1150
92	_												-
	F	Underground obstructions Stations (UMS)	<ol> <li>Provide adequate allowance for differing site conditions to address unknown underground obstructions.</li> <li>Show field verified obstructions discovered during previous contracts on contract drawings.</li> <li>Make as-built drawings of structures adjacent to the work available to the contractor as reference drawings.</li> </ol>	С	4	2	2	2	80%	8	16	Mitigation measures have been implemented.	8/12/15 UMS 1320
98	28												- / /
99		Incomplete cutoff of groundwater at UMS	<ol> <li>If needed, perform grouting to mitigate the intrusion of groundwater.</li> <li>Include in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> </ol>	С	1	2	1	2	10%	2	3	By Mitigation measures in the form of consolidation grouting to be included in contract documents	8/12/15 UMS1320
107	33	Damage to utilities at UMS causes delay to construction and/or consequential cost. (very close to walls adjacent to relocated utility trenches)	<ol> <li>Intensive utility coordination and investigation.</li> <li>Relocate utilities out of the way of construction wherever possible.</li> <li>Show utilities on reference plans.</li> <li>Have utility contact information and procedure on plans.</li> <li>Have contingency repair/restoration plans.</li> <li>Include probable impacts to schedule &amp; cost in estimates.</li> </ol>	С	2	1	1	1	35%	2	4	Although mitigation measure have been fully implemented, Increased probability due to proximity of new pile design to existing relocated utilities.	7/19/16 UMS1410
108	34	Loss of business results in unanticipated restrictions on construction at UMS	<ol> <li>Public outreach.</li> <li>Work closely with Merchant's Association.</li> <li>Maintain regular and open communications so Merchants know construction plans and progress at all times.</li> <li>Advertise that Stockton Street Merchants are Open for Business.</li> <li>Require Contractor to coordinate with merchants, maintain access to businesses and assist with deliveries and pick-ups, continuously cleanup site, and provide pedestrian and vehicle traffic and protection plans, informational signage, and minimum sidewalk widths.</li> <li>Require barriers to protect pedestrians and shield them from noise and dirt from construction.</li> <li>Work with the Union Square BID or MOED to increase cleanup of the area and assist pedestrians across streets.</li> <li>Include this work in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> </ol>	С	2	3	2	3	35%	5	10	Mitigation measures to be implemented and to the extent possible requirements will be written into contract documents to minimize disruptions to businesses.	9/7/16 UMS1430
111	35	Ground support structure causes groundwater table to rise which results in leakage into adjacent structures.( new structure might create a dam that results into leaks into new and existing structures)	Defense the Tech mene is contract desuments	С	1	2	-	1	10%	1	2	Mitigation measures incorporated in design based on updated Hydrogeologic analysis and report	9/7/16 UMS1430
112	36	Damage to buildings or utilities as a result of heav from jet grouting at UMS.	e Utilize tangent piles combined with surface jet grouting.	С	1	1	-	1	10%	1	1	Mitigation measures implemented in contract documents to reduce risk	4/14/15 UMS1310

K Register												
		sk Profile Severity Score	J	K	L Low (1)	M Medium (2)	N High (3)	O Very High (4)	P Significant (5)	Q Legend	R	S
	way Project San Francisco	Score         1         2         3         4         5           5         6         6         7         7         7           4         7         7         7         7         7		Probability	/ < 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3 Low	RISK RATING = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
3 REV : 30		3		Cost Impact	t < \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	3 - 9 Medium	2	
4 DATE ISSU	IED: 03/11/14			Schedule Impact	t < 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months	<> 6 - 12 Months	> 12 Months	>10 High	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Risk Category	Probability %	Cost Impact	Schedule Impact	Calc Impact	Calc %	Risk Rating	Score	Status	Must Complete I Date
37	Damage to adjacent buildings at UMS due to surface construction activities.	<ol> <li>Require protective barriers.</li> <li>Have an emergency and rapid response customer focused task force to fix damaged facilities.</li> <li>Quickly repair and reimburse resulting costs.</li> <li>Include probable cost in estimate.</li> </ol>	с	1	2	-	1	10%	1	2	Mitigation measures implemented in contract documents to reduce risk	9/7/16 UMS1430
38 114	Tiebacks in Stockton Street mis located (in path walls and would have to be dug out within 20ft of surface level)'	<b>o</b>	с	2	2	1	2	35%	3	6	Mitigation measures fully implemented, Advance utility relocation contract (1251) confirmed location of tiebacks. Risk rating has been reduced due to a lowering of the probability of event occurring	5/6/14 UMS1170
J 159	Macy's entrance conflict with new piles	<ol> <li>Show known obstructions shown on as-built drawings on contract drawings.</li> <li>Make as-built drawings available to contractor as reference drawings.</li> <li>Have contractor field verify obstruction shown on as-built drawings and contract drawings</li> </ol>	с	3	1	1	1	50%	3	6	Known obstructions are shown on the ES drawings. Allowance for differing site conditions added to UMS Station contract.	1/23/14 UMS1060
Q 160	As-built drawings and UMS construction drawing do not contain enough information to produce she drawings without significant surveying effort delaying construction north entrance.	<ul> <li>I. Investigate if electronic files of design can be given to the contractor.</li> <li>2. Clearly define shop drawing criteria in the technical specifications.</li> <li>3. Make as-built drawings available as reference drawings to the contractor</li> </ul>	С	3	1	1	1	50%	3	6	Specifications require contractor to survey USG in order to develop shop drawings for structural steel.	3/24/12 UMS1280
61 CTS Station			1			•		•				4
163 183	Public complaints result in unanticipated restrictions on construction at CTS. (schedule an estimate for underground work assumes 6 day work week and 2 shifts per day)	<ol> <li>Public outreach.</li> <li>Maintain regular and open communications so Public knows construction plans and progress at all times.</li> <li>Require Contractor to assist Public Outreach efforts, maintain access to businesses and assist with deliveries and pick-ups, control noise and vibration, continuously cleanup site, and provide pedestrian and vehicle traffic and protection plans, informational signage, ADA ramps and minimum sidewalk widths.</li> <li>Require barriers to protect pedestrians and shield them from noise and dirt from construction.</li> <li>Work with MOED to increase cleanup of the area and assist pedestrians across streets, as needed.</li> <li>Monitor and enforce noise, vibration, ADA, traffic, and cleanup requirements.</li> <li>Quickly process and resolve damage and accident claims from the Public.</li> <li>Include this work in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> </ol>	С	2	5	1	3	35%	6	12	Implementation of mitigation measures part of Communication/Outreach plan and certain aspects to be included in the contract documents.	10/9/17 CTS1500
48 167	Incomplete drawdown of groundwater. (inside of box and inside of caverns)	<ol> <li>Require additional grouting to limit leakage to permissible level.</li> <li>Include probable grouting work in cost &amp; schedule estimates.</li> <li>Include allowance for dewatering within cavern during construction.</li> </ol>	с	2	2	1	2	35%	3	6	Mitigation measures have been included in contract documents	5/1/16 CTS1140
50	CTS station contractor delayed by tunnel contractor since station platform construction cannot start until tunnels have been finished.	<ol> <li>Include provisions in CTS contract identifying the potential waiting period for tunnel contractor.</li> <li>Actively monitor progress towards schedule milestones</li> </ol>	С	2	1	2	2	35%	3	6	Constraints on CTS contractor added to specification "Work Sequence and Constraints"	12/16/13 TUN1122

	Н		J	К	1	М	Ν	0	Р	Q	R	S
	Ris	k Profile	J	K	Low	Medium	High	Very High	Significant	Legend	N	3
PROJECT		elihood Severity Score			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Central Subw	vay Project San Francisco	5 <b>1</b>		Probability	/ < 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3 Low	RISK RATING = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
		5 <b>H</b>		Cost Impact	t < \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	3 - 9	2	
REV : 30		3			C \$250N	<>> \$250K - \$1₩	<> \$ 111 - \$510	<> \$5M - \$10M	> \$101	Medium		
DATE ISSUE	D: 03/11/1/			Schedule Impact	t < 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months	<> 6 - 12 Months	> 12 Months	>10	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
DATE 1000E										High		
			Risk			Schedule						Must Comple
Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Category	Probability %	Cost Impact	Impact	Calc Impact	Calc %	Risk Rating	Score	Status	Date
52		1. Evaluate effect of potential settlement on utilities.										
		<ol> <li>Slip-line sewer by TBM contractor.</li> <li>Reinforce other utilities as needed, monitored during</li> </ol>										
	Unacceptable settlement and impact on	construction, and repair / replace, as needed.									Project configuration change, lowered station 25	4 /00 /
	major utilities at CTS. (OLD SEWERS AND OTHERS WITHIN 20FT SPACE BETWEEN	4. Have contingency repair/restoration plan.	С	3	3	1	2	50%	6	12	ft. reducing the probability of this risk. Risk	4/22/1 N-CTS9
	TOP OF CAVERN AND STREET LEVEL)	5. Utility contact information and procedure will be on plans.									rating lowered.	14-0107
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<ol> <li>Develop an allowance for utility repair.</li> <li>Include probable cost in estimate.</li> </ol>										
		8. Need to identify the new SFPUC contact										
F		1. Provide adequate allowance for differing site conditions to address										
	Underground obstructions stations (CTS)	unknown underground obstructions.	С	4	2	2	2	80%	8	16	Mitigation measures have been implemented.	10/9/
		<ol><li>Make as-built drawings of structures adjacent to the work available to the contractor as reference drawings</li></ol>									·····g-····	CTS15
IJ												
°	Proximity at junction of head house boundary wall and school yard may result in relocation of school		С	1	1	1	1	10%	1	2	Project configuration changed to eliminate encroachment. Risk converted to Construction risk	8/16/
	and conteel yard may recard in relevation of conteel			-	-	-	-	1070	-	-	from Risk 55.	CTS10
	yard during wall construction											
	,											
General Demolition, Clearing ,	, Earthwork											
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r	, Earthwork relocations							 				
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina	, Earthwork relocations ated Material							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations lated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction										Additional baring taken in visibility of portal indicated pa	10/24/
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations lated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural	c	1	2	1	2	10%	2	3	Additional boring taken in vicinity of portal indicated no evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.	
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations lated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction		c	1	2	1	2	10%	2	3	Additional boring taken in vicinity of portal indicated no evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.	
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations lated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.		1	2	1	2		2		evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.	TUN10
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost.(Moscone)	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural</li> </ol>	C C	1	2	1	2	10%	2		evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.	TUN108 4/28/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> </ol>		1	2	1	2		2		Additional boring taken in vicinity of portal indicated no evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified	10/24/2 TUN108 4/28/1 TUN115
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost.(Moscone) AROUND 10%	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>		1	2	1	2		2	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified	TUN103 4/28/1 TUN115
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost.(Moscone)	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>		1	2	1	2		2 3 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN103 4/28/1 TUN113 8/12/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost.(Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> </ol>	С	1	2	1	1	50%	2 3 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost.(Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	С	1	2	1	1	50%	2 3 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	C C	1 3 3	2	1	2	50%	2 3 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN103 4/28/1 TUN115 8/12/1 UMS132
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN)	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	С	1 3 3 3	2	1	1	50%	2 3 5 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources. Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS13 10/9/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	C C	1 3 3 3	2	1	2	50%	2 3 5 5 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN10 4/28/ TUN11 8/12/ UMS13 10/9/2
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s	, Earthwork         relocations         iated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10%         sound walls	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	C C	1 3 3 3	2	1	2	50%	2 3 5 5 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS13 10/9/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68	, Earthwork         relocations         iated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10%         sound walls	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.	C C	1 3 3 3	2	1	2	50%	2 3 5 5 5	6	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS13 10/9/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10% sound walls ss ways, roads	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     1. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide unit bid items to reimburse contractor for traffic management	с с с		2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	2	50% 50% 50%	2 3 5 5	6 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/ TUN11 8/12/ UMS13 10/9/ CTS150
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70	, Earthwork         relocations         iated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10%         sound walls	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	C C	1 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	2	50%	2 3 5 5 8	6 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS13 10/9/1 CTS150
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10% sound walls ss ways, roads	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     1. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide unit bid items to reimburse contractor for traffic management	с с с	1 3 3 3 3 3		1	2	50% 50% 50%	2 3 5 5 8	6 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN111 8/12/1 UMS132 10/9/1 CTS150
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70	, Earthwork relocations ated Material gations Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1% Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10% sound walls ss ways, roads	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide unit bid items to reimburse contractor for traffic management costs outside their control.     2. Include allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.	с с с	1 3 3 3 3		1	2	50% 50% 50%	2 3 5 5 8	6 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS133 10/9/1 CTS150 5/22/1 STS102
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70	, Earthwork         relocations         iated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10%         sound walls         ss ways, roads         Change in traffic control requirements after bid.	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> </ol>	с с с	1 3 3 3 3 3		1	2	50% 50% 50%	2 3 3 5 5 5 8 8	6 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/ TUN11 8/12/ UMS13 10/9/ CTS15 5/22/ STS102 2/5/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70	, Earthwork         relocations         iated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10%         sound walls         ss ways, roads         Change in traffic control requirements after bid.         Power supply interruptions to TBM's (no dual power feed currently planned)	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide unit bid items to reimburse contractor for traffic management costs outside their control.     2. Include allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.	с с с			1	2	50% 50% 50%	2 3 3 5 5 5 8 8 1	6 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS13 10/9/1 CTS150 5/22/1 STS102 2/5/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70 71	Farthwork         relocations         rated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN)        AROUND 10%         sound walls         ss ways, roads         Change in traffic control requirements after bid.         Power supply interruptions to TBM's (no dual power feed currently planned)         Signals	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Dirovide unit bid items to reimburse contractor for traffic management costs outside their control.</li> <li>Include allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.</li> <li>Obtain TBM power directly from PG&amp;E substation.</li> </ol>	с с с с			1 1 2 2 1 1	2	50% 50% 50% 50% 10%		6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN11 8/12/1 UMS13 10/9/1 CTS150 5/22/1 STS102 2/5/1 TUN11
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility r Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70 71	, Earthwork         relocations         iated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN) AROUND 10%         sound walls         ss ways, roads         Change in traffic control requirements after bid.         Power supply interruptions to TBM's (no dual power feed currently planned)	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide on-call Archeologist.     2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.     1. Provide unit bid items to reimburse contractor for traffic management costs outside their control.     2. Include allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.	с с с		2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 1 1	2	50% 50% 50%		6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents	TUN10 4/28/1 TUN111 8/12/1 UMS132 10/9/1 CTS150 5/22/1 STS102 2/5/1 TUN112 3/4/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility I Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70 71 Train Control and S 72	. Earthwork         relocations         rated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN)AROUND 10%         sound walls         ss ways, roads         Change in traffic control requirements after bid.         Power supply interruptions to TBM's (no dual power feed currently planned)         Signals         Interface new Signaling and Train Control system	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.</li> <li>Obtain TBM power directly from PG&amp;E substation.</li> </ol>	с с с с		2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 1 1	2	50% 50% 50% 50% 10%		6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures implemented.         Mitigation measures implemented.	TUN103 4/28/1 TUN113 8/12/1
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility I Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70 71 Train Control and S 72 PR78	<ul> <li>Earthwork</li> <li>Ferdecations</li> <li>Telocations</li> <li>Telocations</li> <li>Telocations</li> <li>Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%</li> <li>Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%</li> <li>Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%</li> <li>Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%</li> <li>Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN)AROUND 10%</li> <li>sound walls</li> <li>ss ways, roads</li> <li>Change in traffic control requirements after bid.</li> <li>Power supply interruptions to TBM's (no dual power feed currently planned)</li> <li>Signals</li> <li>Interface new Signaling and Train Control system to existing at Fourth and King</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.</li> <li>Obtain TBM power directly from PG&amp;E substation.</li> </ol>	с с с с с			1 1 2 2 1 1	2	50% 50% 50% 50% 10% 35%		6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures implemented.         Mitigation measures implemented.	TUN103 4/28/1 TUN113 8/12/1 UMS132 10/9/1 CTS150 5/22/1 STS102 2/5/1 TUN112 3/4/10 STS104
Demolition, Clearing , Site Utilities, Utility I Hazmat, Contamina Environmental Mitig 65 66 67 68 Site Structure incl. s Auto/bus/van acces 70 71 Train Control and S 72 PR78	. Earthwork         relocations         rated Material         gations         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Portal) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (Moscone) AROUND 10%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (UMS)LESS THAN 1%         Archeological/Cultural findings during construction increases schedule and/or cost. (CHINA TOWN)AROUND 10%         sound walls         ss ways, roads         Change in traffic control requirements after bid.         Power supply interruptions to TBM's (no dual power feed currently planned)         Signals         Interface new Signaling and Train Control system	2. Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries. <ul> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide on-call Archeologist.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance and procedure in contract for Archeological/Cultural discoveries.</li> <li>Provide allowance in construction contracts for PCOs.</li> <li>Obtain TBM power directly from PG&amp;E substation.</li> <li>Connect new system in parallel with existing system until the new system has been tested and safety certified for operation.</li> </ul>	с с с с				2	50% 50% 50% 50% 10%		6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	evidence of Archeological/Cultural resources.         Mitigated - Current exposure only to those amount above those currently identified         Mitigation measures to be implemented in contract documents         Mitigation measures implemented.         Mitigation measures implemented.	TUN10 4/28/ TUN11 8/12/ UMS13 10/9/ CTS150 5/22/ STS102 2/5/1 TUN11 3/4/1

	Register	1	1					-	-			T	
	A	Н		J	К	L	Madium	N	0 Vary High	P	Q	R	S
			k Profile elihood Severity Score			Low (1)	Medium (2)	High (3)	Very High (4)	Significant (5)	Legend		
1	PROJECT		elihood Score 1 2 3 4 5			(1)	(-/	(3)	(-)	(0)			
	Constral Culture		5		Probability	< 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3		
2	Central Subw	ay Project San Francisco									Low	RISK RATING = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
					Cost Impact	t < \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	3 - 9	2	
3	REV : 30										Medium		
					Schedule Impact	t < 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months	<> 6 - 12 Months	> 12 Months	>10	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
4	DATE ISSUE	D: 03/11/14					<b>.</b>			F 12 months	High		
				Risk			Schedule						Must Complete by
	Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Category	Probability %	Cost Impact	Impact	Calc Impact	Calc %	<b>Risk Rating</b>	Score	Status	Date
				eatege.y			impaor						
5													
	Traffic signals & Cr Fare Collections Sy												
262	Purchase or lease of	of Real Estate											
	79	Delay in obtaining tunnel easements (3 #) (goes to		1								Right of possession obtained on all three parcels.	1
		condemnation) - Costs of ROW may cost more	1. Engage Owners in negotiations as soon as possible.	R	1	1	_	1	10%	1	1	1 Cost agreement reached with 1455 Stockton & 801	9/7/2012
266		than expected	2. PM/CM to provide real estate specialists to facilitate.									Market.	
	Reloc. of Househol	d or Business		<u>.</u>	ł		4	•	•			•	•
275	Vehicles				-	-							
	83		Time the procurement of the vehicles to be part of the procurement of the	_								CSP vehicles to be included in overall SFMTA vehicle	11/17/17
		Cost of vehicles are more than estimated	existing Breda LRVs.	R	3	4	1	3	50%	8	15	procurement contract.	STS 1500
276	Preliminary Engine	orion											
287		ening											
	95												11/17/17
		Contractor default during construction impacts schedule. (key sub-contractor)	Assist Bonding company in transition and to maintain schedule.	С	1	2	2	2	10%	2	4	4	STS 1500
291													5151500
	99	Breakdown in relationships between SFMTA and											
		Contractors during construction results in	1. Executive partnering and alternate dispute resolution.	С	2	4	1	3	35%	5	10	Mitigation measures being implemented	7/27/12
		increased claims and delays to the overall	2. Provide incentives in construction contracts in addition to penalties	Ŭ			_						FDS 1940
297		construction schedule.											
	100												
		Procurement of long lead items delays work. (fans		<u>^</u>	1	2	2		100/	2			11/17/17
		rails and special track work, TPSS, Escalators, elevators, TBM)	for stored long lead items in contract to encourage early procurement. 2. Monitor procurement of critical items.	С	1	2	2	2	10%	2	4	1 Not considered a project risk.	STS 1500
200													
299	102												
	102											LONP 1 & 2 initiated to reduce this risk. See Risk	
		Late finish of early contract delays later contracts	<ol> <li>Actively manage contracts and include incentive provisions for early completion in critical contracts.</li> </ol>	С	2	1	2	2	35%	3	6	86. The mitigation of risks associated with early	12/30/20
		and extends PM / CM and incurs additional costs	2. Add buffer float to critical path to actively manage schedule contingency	C	-	÷	-	-	3370	J	· · · ·	contracts will address this risk. Risk rating reduced	MS 0010
204												due to mitigation measures implemented	
301	PR37								1				
	r KJ /	Temporary construction power and ability to											
		provide permanent power feed - PGE ability to	1. Identify temporary power requirements for station construction.	<u>^</u>	2	1	2	2	250/	2		Cost for First and Redundant electrical services need to be included in Cost Estimate.	5/3/18
		provide power requirements to the program	2. Investigate the timing of the permanent feed.	С	2	1	2	2	35%	3	C	to be included in Cost Estimate.	STS1080
		together with their other commitment											
305	Insurance, permits	oto		ļ						_ <b>_</b>			
300	103												
	105		1. Coordinate with permit officials and request permits as early as possible.					-	4007		-		12/18/12
		Difficulty in getting required permits.	2. Obtain assistance obtaining permits from PM/CM & FD Consultants.	С	1	2	1	2	10%	2	3		FDS 1275
307													
	104	CPUC approval at Grade Crossing for G0164d	1. Obtain Grade Crossing approvals at final CPUC inspection at the										
1		takes longer to negotiate / obtain than schedule	completion of construction.	R	2	3	2	3	35%	5	10	CPUC Resolution (TED-253) for extension of our at	7/27/12
		allows	2. Coordinate closely with CPUC until approval is received.								10	grade crossing was granted.	FDS 1940
308													
	105		1. Submit applications for new service as early as possible.	<b>~</b>				-			-	Applications for new service have been submitted to	11/17/17
1		Electrical service delays startup and testing.	2. Coordinate closely with PG&E to ensure timely delivery of electrical	С	1	2	1	2	10%	2	3	Applications for new service have been submitted to PG&E.	STS 1500
309			service.										
	106		Enforce designated gate for employees of the contract in dispute so that the	_									11/17/17
		Risk of Labor dispute delaying the work.	rest of the work is not delayed.	С	2	1	1	1	35%	2	4	1	STS 1500
310													
	Unallocated Conting	gency											1
1	111	Mojor Forthqueko store wark	Indude Force Mejoure douce in contracte	~					100/	4	c	Earon Majourn aloung included in another th	12/30/20
047		Major Earthquake stops work	Include Force Majeure clause in contracts.	С	1	5	3	4	10%	4	8	8 Force Majeure clause included in contracts.	MS 0010
317													

	egister		1					r				1	
4			Profile Severity Score	J	К	L Low (1)	M Medium (2)	N High (3)	O Very High (4)	P Significant (5)	Q Legend	R	S
			core 1 2 3 4 5		Probability	< 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3 Low	RISK RATING = PROBABILITY X ( <u>COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)</u>	
	REV : 30		5 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Cost Impact	< \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	3 - 9 Medium	2	
4	DATE ISSUE				Schedule Impact	< 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months	<> 6 - 12 Months	> 12 Months	>10 High	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
5	Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Risk Category	Probability %	Cost Impact	Schedule Impact	Calc Impact	Calc %	Risk Rating	Score	Status	Must Complete by Date
318	112	Major safety event halts work	<ol> <li>Require contractor Safety plan to address this risk.</li> <li>CM inspections to ensure that safety plan and procedures are implemented.</li> </ol>	С	1	5	3	4	10%	4	٤	Health and Safety provisions included in contracts. CS Program provides full-time Safety Manager.	12/30/20 MS 0010
<u>320</u> 321	196	The process of acquiring station licenses: acquisition/condemnation could significantly delay schedule and cost more than that presently planned.	<ol> <li>Continue to negotiate with building owners</li> <li>Required Notices and Appraisals to be completed</li> <li>Commence condemnation process with City Attorneys</li> </ol>	С	1	1	1	1	10%	4	2	2	
327	202	Cargo Preference (Ship America) must solicit U.S flag carriers. Civilian Agencies Cargo = at least 50% (governed by Cargo Preference Act of 1954	1. Require Ship America compliance agreement first tier contractors and subcontractors	С	1	1	1	1	10%	1	2	2	
329	204	AT&T Vault - New Sewer Work south of Bryant	<ol> <li>Continue negotiations/coordination with utility owners.</li> <li>Schedule analysis to confirm coordination</li> </ol>	С	2	2	4	3	35%	6	12		
330	205	Prolong period of CMod's creates additional cost/causes bad blood between Resident Engineer and Contractor	<ol> <li>CMod Task Force - 5 Areas of Improvement</li> <li>Implement</li> <li>Delegation of Authority</li> </ol>	С	3	1	1	1	50%	3	(	5	
333	208	Additional cost if we change direction going to the Pagoda	<ol> <li>Develop Scope with designers currently under contract</li> <li>Agree to alignment and details of new shaft location</li> <li>Issue PCC to Contractor</li> <li>Initial site works and borings if necessary</li> <li>Obtain appropriate permits</li> </ol>	С	3	3	2	3	50%	8	15	;	
335	210	Mission Bay Loop Grant – Needs to be built to allow for train turnarounds (June 2013)	1. Identify timeline for grant funding	С	4	1	1	1	80%	4	٤	3	
336	211	Differing site conditions encountered during ground freezing of Cross Passage 5 results in increased costs.	<ol> <li>Contractor has submitted a 'no cost, no schedule' PCC for ground freezing</li> <li>Need early review of work plan, and identification of entity that will perform the work</li> </ol>	С	1	2	2	2	10%	2	4	L .	
337	212	UMS Inclined piles – 8" clearance between piles and tunnel results in damage or safety issues within the tunnel	<ol> <li>Establish 1252 and 1300 contract requirements to construct within acceptable tolerances</li> <li>Workshop to be held with BIH to discuss hold points during construction.</li> </ol>	С	1	5	3	4	10%	4	٤	3	
338	213	Micro Piles exist within tunnel path at UMS	1. Re-profile and realign tunnel to clear micropiles	С	2	3	1	2	35%	4	٤	3	
339	214	Micro Piles at UMS interfere with Tube-a- manchette installation (60' deep micropiles)	<ol> <li>Provide micro-pile as-built information to contractor</li> <li>Realign tube-a-manchettes clear of micro-piles</li> </ol>	С	3	1	1	1	50%	3	6	5	
340	215	DPW Excavation permit reviews delay contract works	1. Obtain a blanket excavation permits from DPW covering the area of work for 1253, 1254, 1255, 1256	С	2	1	1	1	35%	2	4	k l	
341	216	Olivet building potential construction impact	<ol> <li>Reach out to building owner and keep him abreast of CS construction activities.</li> </ol>	С	1	1	2	2	10%	2	2	5	
342	217	Delays or complications construction by others – SF Dept. Of Technology, 3rd party utilities	<ol> <li>Early engagement and coordination for agreements and plan development to avoid construction delays.</li> </ol>	С	2	1	1	1	35%	2	4	DTIS MOU has been signed.	
	218	Air replenishment system no longer required – Agency bears unnecessary cost of installation and maintenance of an air replenishment system that is no longer required.	<ol> <li>Contractor to be notified to place procurement on hold</li> <li>Central Subway to seek approval from SFFD to delete the system from the contract</li> </ol>	С	1	1	1	1	10%	1	2	2	

	A	Н	I	J	К	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q	R	S
			k Profile			Low	Medium	High (3)	Very High	Significant	Legend		·
1	PROJECT		elihood 3evenity 3cole			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		-	
					Probability	< 10%	<> 10% - 50%	> 50%	<> 75% - 90%	> 90%	<3		
2	2 Central Subway Project San Francisco								Low	ISK RATING = PROBABILITY X ( <u>COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)</u>			
	REV : 30				Cost Impact	< \$250K	<> \$250K - \$1M	<> \$1M - \$3M	<> \$3M - \$10M	> \$10M	3 - 9	2	
3	KEV.30										Medium		
		D: 03/11/14			Schedule Impact	< 1 Month	<> 1 - 3 Months	<> 3 - 6 Months	<> 6 - 12 Months	> 12 Months	>10	SCORE = PROBABILITY X (COST IMPACT + SCHEDULE IMPACT)	
4	DATE 1550E	. 03/11/14									High		
	Final Risk ID	Risk Description	Mitigation Description	Risk	Probability %	Cost Impact	Schedule	Calc Impact	Calc %	Risk Rating	Score	Status	Must Complete by
				Category	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Impact						Date
5													
-	219		1. Program Safety Manager to prepare a comprehensive safety plan to										
		Clearance between YBM slurry wall and constructed tunnels results in a strike causing	address this issue 2. Program to prepare a written position/response to concerns raised	С	2	2	1	2	35%	3	6		
		safety or structural concerns	regarding this issue	C	2	2	1	2	3370	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	u		
344			5 5										
, ,	220		1. Direct the contractor to perform the work under the contract										
		Compensation grouting at the Pagoda site is	2. Document (in real time - daily basis if necessary) if the contractor refuses										
		delayed by resolution of the scope and role of the	to diligently pursue the work 3. Notify contractors bonding company if the contractor refuses to carry out	С	5	1	1	1	90%	5	10		
		designer, and contractor	the work										
345													
	222												
		ARGUS Monitoring Software - Sharing	1. Outline responsibilities for each contractor (1252 & 1300)	С	3	3	1	2	50%	6	12		
347		Instrumentation for CN1252 and CN1300		Ŭ									
347													